Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 270-1 Filed 01/14/13 Page 1 of 4

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 63607) Kelly M. Dermody (State Bar No. 171716) Eric B. Fastiff (State Bar No. 182260) Brendan Glackin (State Bar No. 199643) Dean Harvey (State Bar No. 250298) Anne B. Shaver (State Bar No. 255928) Lisa J. Cisneros (State Bar No. 251473) LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNST 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 Joseph R. Saveri (State Bar No. 130064) Lisa J. Leebove (State Bar No. 186705) James D. Dallal (State Bar No. 277826) JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM 255 California, Suite 450 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 500-6800 Facsimile: (415) 500-6803	TEIN, LLP	
12	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff Class		
13 14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
16 17 18 19 20 21	IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL ACTIONS	Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK DECLARATION OF DR. EDWARD E. LEAMER IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	I, Edward E. Leamer, declare as follows:		
2	1. On December 10, 2012, I submitted a Reply Expert Report in Support of		
3	Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification. In that report, I responded to		
4	which purported		
5	Leamer Reply ¶ 62. I explained that, when		
6	controlling for		
7			
8	Id. ¶¶ 62-64. The underlying data was first produced by		
9	Defendants. I later provided the data to Defendants as part of the master dataset I produced to		
10	Defendants with my initial expert report, filed October 1, 2012.		
11	2. On January 9, 2013, Defendants filed a Supplemental Declaration of Professor		
12	Kevin M. Murphy in Support of Defendants' Administrative Motion for Leave to Supplement the		
13	Record ("Murphy Supplemental Declaration"). The Murphy Supplemental Declaration attaches		
14	tables of salary and total compensation data for Apple and Intel employees over time. However,		
15	the tables in the Murphy Supplemental Declaration improperly		
16			
17	Supp. Murphy Decl., Ex. A.		
18			
19	3. I have attached as Exhibit A charts that separate these same employees into groups		
20	who shared the same job titles over the same years described in the Murphy Supplemental		
21	Declaration. Here, again, the effects of Defendants' compensation structures and enforcement of		
22	internal equity is apparent. These data further support my opinion that Defendants' salary		
23	structures, and enforcement of internal equity, would have spread the effects of their agreements		
24	on compensation broadly across all or nearly all members of the Class.		
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 270-1 Filed 01/14/13 Page 3 of 4

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the above is true and correct. Executed on January 14, 2013, in Los Angeles, California.

EXHIBIT A (redacted)